

# Facial Age Synthesis With Label Distribution-Guided Generative Adversarial Network

Yunlian Sun<sup>ID</sup>, Jinhui Tang<sup>ID</sup>, *Senior Member, IEEE*, Xiangbo Shu<sup>ID</sup>, *Member, IEEE*,  
Zhenan Sun<sup>ID</sup>, and Massimo Tistarelli<sup>ID</sup>

**Abstract**—The existing research work on facial age synthesis has been mostly focused on long-term aging (e.g., over an age span of 10 years or more). In this paper, we employ generative adversarial networks (GANs) as a tool to investigate age synthesis over different age spans. Compared with long-term aging, short-term age synthesis suffers from the reduced amount of available training data, which can severely hinder the model training. We conduct a series of experiments to validate this. To facilitate short-term age synthesis, we further propose label distribution-guided generative adversarial network (ldGAN), where each sample is associated with an age label distribution (ALD) rather than a single age group. Accordingly, each sample can contribute not only to the learning of its own age group but also to neighbouring groups' learning. This is useful when addressing short-term aging to cope with the reduced amount of training data. In addition, unlike one-hot encoding which treats age groups as independent from one another, ldGAN can well capture the correlation among different age groups, so that smooth aging sequences can be achieved. The ALD model is integrated into GAN with a two-step process. Firstly, instead of the traditional one-hot encoding, ALD is applied as the condition of the generator. Secondly, we add a sequence of label distribution learners on top of several multi-scale discriminators, with the aim of minimizing the label distribution learning loss when optimizing both the generator and discriminators. Both qualitative and quantitative evaluations are conducted to assess ldGAN's ability in dealing with two core issues of face aging, i.e., aging effect generation and identity preservation. The obtained experimental results demonstrate the effectiveness of ldGAN in both learning short-term aging patterns and coping with the lack of training data.

**Index Terms**—Facial age synthesis, generative adversarial networks, label distribution learning.

## I. INTRODUCTION

WHAT will Mary look like after 20 years or what did she look like 20 years ago? Age synthesis, which allows to predict the future facial appearance (i.e., age progression) or to reconstruct the past facial appearance (i.e., age regression) of an individual, is one of the most intriguing topics in computer vision, biometrics and computer graphics. It has shown potential in diverse applications including finding lost/wanted persons, face recognition robust to aging variations, entertainment and cosmetic studies to cope with aging. The process of physiological aging causes significant changes in both the shape and texture of human faces, thus making the modelling of face aging a very challenging task. Aesthetically synthesizing faces of a subject at different ages thus becomes an extremely difficult task. Even though every human is subject to aging, the associated change in the facial appearance differs for each individual. The aging process occurs slowly. It greatly depends on several intrinsic factors, such as genetics, gender, and ethnicity, and extrinsic factors, such as the lifestyle and the working conditions. The paucity of available labeled data further increases the difficulty of designing an age synthesis model which is able to consistently generalize the aging process for different subjects. Existing databases either include very few time distant face images for each individual (shallow) or the available data comes from very few subjects (narrow). Collecting a deep and broad database is crucial but very hard to accomplish in practice.

Nevertheless, automatic facial age synthesis has received tremendous attention, with several efforts devoted to modeling the longitudinal aging process [1], [2]. Early attempts generally investigated the biological structure and aging process of facial features such as the muscles, skin and cranium [3]–[10]. These approaches, however, suffer from the complexity of the model and are computationally expensive. They usually require long sequences of personal face images to cover a long time span. In contrast, prototype approaches do not require long face sequences of the same individual [11]–[15]. These approaches first divide all available faces into several age groups, then compute a prototype for each group. A test face

Manuscript received August 28, 2019; revised January 14, 2020; accepted February 6, 2020. Date of publication February 24, 2020; date of current version March 9, 2020. This work was supported in part by the National Key Research and Development Program of China under Grant 2016YFB1001001, in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 61603391, Grant 61925204, Grant 61702265, and Grant 61427811, and in part by grants of the Italian Ministry of Research (PRIN 2015 and SPADA). The associate editor coordinating the review of this manuscript and approving it for publication was Dr. William R. Schwartz. (Corresponding author: Jinhui Tang.)

Yunlian Sun, Jinhui Tang, and Xiangbo Shu are with the School of Computer Science and Engineering, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing 210094, China (e-mail: yunlian.sun@njust.edu.cn; jinhuitang@njust.edu.cn; shuxb@njust.edu.cn).

Zhenan Sun is with the Center for Research on Intelligent Perception and Computing, National Laboratory of Pattern Recognition, Institute of Automation, CAS Center for Excellence in Brain Science and Intelligence Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China (e-mail: znsun@nlpr.ia.ac.cn).

Massimo Tistarelli is with the Department of Sciences and Information Technology, University of Sassari, 07100 Sassari, Italy (e-mail: tista@uniss.it). Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TIFS.2020.2975921



Fig. 1. Visually perceivable changes in facial appearance of six individuals. (Top) Face images captured at different ages of 2 subjects from FG-NET [27]. (Bottom) Face images captured at different ages of 4 subjects from MORPH [28]. The number on the bottom of each face image represents the age of the subject at which the image was captured.

can be transformed into an age-progressed one by adding the difference between prototypes of two age groups. Even though achieving promising results, prototype methods fail to keep personalized aging patterns [16]. Despite of the success of deep learning in different areas, only recently researchers have turned their attention to synthesizing faces by using deep neural networks (DNN) [17]–[19]. For example, in [17] a recurrent neural network was exploited to model the aging pattern between neighbouring age groups. Duong *et al.* instead investigated temporal restricted boltzmann machines for learning aging transformations [18]. Among various deep models, generative adversarial networks (GANs) have in particular attracted considerable interest and produced impressive results [20]–[26].

It should be noted that the past research efforts were mostly devoted to long-term facial age synthesis. For example, all recent approaches proposed for adult face aging consider time spans of 10 years [17]–[26] and the corresponding time span for young face aging is 5 years [17], [19], [22]. On the other hand, the analysis of short-term face aging (e.g., over a time frame of less than 5 years) has been relatively understudied. Assuming to adopt a time span of 10 years, which age group model, between 20 ~ 30 and 30 ~ 40, should be applied to forecast the facial appearance of a teenager at the age of 30? It is worth noting that both models use data with age differing by even 10 years from 30 for training. In Figure 1, an example of facial age progression of 6 subjects is shown. As depicted in the figure, the pictures of all subjects have been taken in a maximum time frame of 9 years. By adopting a long-term aging model with a span of 10 years, all pictures of the same subject would be classified within the same age group. In this way all shape or texture changes, which are clearly visible in the pictures, would be neglected. On the contrary, by adopting a short-term aging model, pictures of the same subjects would be classified within different age groups, thus allowing to appreciate the characteristic changes of visual features. In general, a short-term aging model allows to capture and

better understand even subtle changes in the facial appearance. However, reducing the age span also involves a reduction of the available training data for each age range/group. This side effect should be taken into account when designing short-term aging models.

In this study, we investigate both long-term and short-term facial age synthesis. Specifically, we exploit state-of-the-art conditional generative adversarial networks (cGANs) to generate face aging sequences over different age spans. Experimental results show that GAN can achieve smooth aging sequences and generate high-quality images, when a large age span is used. However, it fails to produce satisfactory results when a small span is used, with many synthesized faces presenting low quality, blurry regions and artifacts.

To facilitate short-term age synthesis, we further propose a novel GAN-based approach, i.e., label distribution-guided generative adversarial network (ldGAN). In ldGAN, each sample is associated with an age label distribution (ALD) rather than a single age group. The label distribution covers different age groups. For each sample, values in its ALD represent the degree that the sample belongs to each age group. Consequently, each sample can contribute not only to the learning of its own age group but also to neighbouring groups' learning. This allows ldGAN to cope with the paucity of training data in short-term age synthesis. Moreover, unlike one-hot encoding which treats age groups as independent from one another, ALD can well capture the correlation among different age groups, so that aging patterns can be well learned. The age label distribution is integrated into the GAN network in two steps. Firstly, ALD is exploited to condition the generator. Secondly, a sequence of label distribution learners are added on top of several multi-scale discriminators. The presented experimental results well demonstrate the effectiveness of ldGAN in both capturing short-term aging patterns and coping with the paucity of training data.

The main contributions of this paper are:

- 1) We exploit state-of-the-art conditional generative adversarial networks to generate face aging sequences over different age spans.
- 2) We propose label distribution-guided generative adversarial networks for short-term aging, attempting to fully utilize the limited training data and well capture the correlation among different age ranges.
- 3) We conduct both qualitative and quantitative experiments to examine ldGAN's ability in both learning aging patterns and keeping identity cues.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section II provides an overview of the related research work. We investigate face aging with different age spans in Section III. Our proposed ldGAN is detailed in Section IV. Section V is devoted to experimental evaluation. Finally, we conclude the whole work and further give some interesting future work in Section VI.

## II. RELATED WORK

In this section, a short literature review on generative adversarial networks, facial age synthesis and label distribution learning is provided.

### A. Generative Adversarial Networks

Generative adversarial networks were relatively recently proposed to learn a generative model through an adversarial process [29]. A classical GAN consists of a generator  $G$  and a discriminator  $D$ . The generator learns to capture the data distribution and generate fake samples that are indistinguishable from real samples. The discriminator instead tries to distinguish real samples from fake ones generated by  $G$ . Following this seminal work, various variants have been proposed [30]–[35]. The conditional generative adversarial networks have particularly been actively studied [30], where the generator and discriminator are conditioned on some extra information. For example, Tran *et al.* adopted a pose label as a condition for generating face images with target poses [36]. In order to generate face images with target expression, Song *et al.* proposed to use facial geometry as the condition of the generator [37]. In StarGAN [38], a target domain label was used as the condition for multi-domain image-to-image translation. In [39], for synthesizing faces with a wide range of expressions, Pumarola *et al.* introduced a novel GAN conditioning scheme based on Action Units annotations. Similarly, our approach is a conditional GAN, where age label distribution is employed as the condition.

### B. Facial Age Synthesis With cGANs

Apart from facial pose synthesis, expression synthesis and attribute manipulation, cGANs have been successfully used in facial age synthesis. In [20], Antipov *et al.* introduced cGANs to automatic face aging, where a one-hot age group label was used as a condition to guide the synthesis. They achieved promising results for long-term face aging. In [22], a conditional adversarial autoencoder (CAAE) was proposed, where the input face is first encoded to a latent vector. After

that the latent vector together with a target one-hot age group label were sent to the generator for age progression/regression. To ensure that the generated faces present desired aging effect, Yang *et al.* developed an age-related GAN loss for age transformation [23]. They further adopted an identity preservation loss to well keep identity cues during age transformation. Impressive results were obtained for both age progression and rejuvenation. Instead of using an age-related GAN loss, Wang *et al.* pre-trained an age classifier and used it to determine which age group the face comes from [24]. With this age classification module, the proposed identity-preserved conditional generative adversarial networks (IPCGANs) achieve impressive aging effect. Given that people from different demographic groups have different aging processes, Liu *et al.* designed an attribute-aware face aging model [26]. Specifically, gender and race attributes were considered. Although achieving impressive results, these approaches focus only on long-term face aging. In this work, we investigate cGANs to generate both long-term and short-term aging sequences.

### C. Label Distribution Learning

Label distribution learning (LDL) has been successfully used in several applications including facial age estimation and head pose estimation [40]–[42]. This learning model was demonstrated to be more effective with a reduced number of unbalanced training examples. For example, for facial age estimation, each face image is associated with an age label distribution rather than a single age value. Each label distribution covers several age labels, representing the degree to which each label describes the face. Consequently, each sample can contribute not only to the learning of its own age but also to the learning of its neighbouring ages. In addition, unlike one-hot encoding which treats ages as independent from one another, label distribution can successfully capture the correlation among different ages so that samples with neighbouring ages share more than those further away.

## III. LONG-TERM AND SHORT-TERM AGE SYNTHESIS

In this section, we investigate facial age synthesis with different age spans by using a state-of-the-art GAN architecture.

### A. Methodology

Suppose we have a total of  $N$  age ranges/groups with an age span of  $s$ . We choose  $s = 10, 5, 3$  in this study to cover both long-term and short-term face aging. Following existing GAN-based face aging approaches [20]–[22], [24], [25], we adopt one-hot label as age encoding. Given a face image  $I_o$ , GAN employs  $I_o$  as well as a target one-hot label as the condition to generate another image  $I_t$  with the same identity but from a different group. Following [24], [25], we train GAN to not only distinguish between real and generated samples but also determine their age groups. That is, in addition to the adversarial loss, we adopt an age group classification loss for optimizing both  $G$  and  $D$ . In order to preserve the content of the input, we further adopt a reconstruction loss (i.e., cycle-consistency loss [44]). Finally, in order to reduce unfavorable artifacts, we apply total



TABLE I  
NUMBER OF TRAINING AND TEST IMAGES ON MORPH WITH  $s = 10$  AND  $s = 5$

	$s = 10$				$s = 5$						
	[16,25]	[26,35]	[36,45]	[46,55]	[16,20]	[21,25]	[26,30]	[31,35]	[36,40]	[41,45]	[46,50]
# training	12,916	10,990	11,777	5,258	6,592	6,324	4,983	6,007	6,182	5,595	3,400
# test	3,274	2,863	2,845	1,235	1,613	1,661	1,388	1,475	1,553	1,292	803

TABLE II  
NUMBER OF TRAINING AND TEST IMAGES ON MORPH WITH  $s = 3$

Age Group	[16,18]	[19,21]	[22,24]	[25,27]	[28,30]	[31,33]	[34,36]	[37,39]	[40,42]	[43,45]	[46,48]	[49,51]
# training	3,998	3,728	3,957	3,414	2,802	3,143	4,321	3,619	3,651	3,050	2,306	1,600
# test	1,049	848	991	1,057	717	855	962	907	853	743	537	375

variation regularization to synthesized faces [43]. Note that both [23] and [24] employ perceptual loss, however, we do not observe significant improvements. We thus do not use identity preserving loss here. For the network architecture, we borrow from state-of-the-art GAN architectures like IPCGANs [24], StarGAN [38] and CycleGAN [44]. The generator contains two stride-2 convolution layers for downsampling, six residual blocks, and two stride-2 transposed convolution layers for upsampling. We use instance normalization followed by ReLU activation in all layers except the last output layer, which uses Tanh. For the discriminator, we adopt PatchGANs and add two output layers on top of it. One is used to differentiate real images from fake ones. The other is used to perform age group classification. We use Leaky ReLU with a negative slope of 0.01 for all six convolution layers of the discriminator, but apply no feature normalization. We apply this GAN framework to facial age synthesis and name it 1hotGAN.

### B. Experimental Data

Compared with prior work which investigates only long-term aging, in this work, we study also short-term facial age synthesis. Note that for short-term aging, using inaccurately labeled data will severely interfere with the model training. Suppose we have a training sample with labeled age differing by 8 years from its actual age. If we use  $s = 10$ , it may be still placed into the true age group. However, if  $s = 3$  is adopted, this sample will be placed into a wrong age range differing by even 3 ranges from its true age range. Therefore, a database with accurately labeled data should be used in order to well study short-term aging. Popular facial age databases include MORPH [28], CACD [46], UTKFace [22], FG-NET [27] and Adience [47] databases. Note that ages of CACD are estimated by simply subtracting the birth year from the year of which the photo was taken, thus not accurate enough. Ages of UTKFace instead are estimated through an automatic age estimation algorithm and double checked by a human annotator. They are thus not accurate enough, either. Although face photos in FG-NET are labeled with accurate ages, there include only 1,002 photos. For Adience, face images are grouped into 8 age groups and only group labels are given. The MORPH database is a widely used benchmark for age-related applications, containing a large number of face photos labeled with true ages. We thus perform experiments

on MORPH throughout this work. It should be noted that MORPH includes only faces of individuals with ages from 16 to 77 years old. Childhood aging thus cannot be studied with this database, where large transitions occur in face size and structure.

The MORPH database provides not only the real age information but also subjects' gender and ethnicity. We use an extension of this database, which contains 52,099 color images with near-frontal pose, neutral expression, and uniform illumination. Subject ages range from 16 to 77 years old. Since there are very few people who are elder than 50, we do not consider all the ages. For  $s = 10$ , we define 4 age groups containing 51,158 images, i.e.,  $16 \sim 25$ ,  $26 \sim 35$ ,  $36 \sim 45$  and  $46 \sim 55$ . And for  $s = 5$ , there are 7 groups containing 48,868 images, i.e.,  $16 \sim 20$ ,  $21 \sim 25$ ,  $26 \sim 30$ ,  $31 \sim 35$ ,  $36 \sim 40$ ,  $41 \sim 45$  and  $46 \sim 50$ . Finally, we have 12 groups for  $s = 3$  containing 49,483 images, i.e.,  $16 \sim 18$ ,  $19 \sim 21$ ,  $22 \sim 24$ ,  $25 \sim 27$ ,  $28 \sim 30$ ,  $31 \sim 33$ ,  $34 \sim 36$ ,  $37 \sim 39$ ,  $40 \sim 42$ ,  $43 \sim 45$ ,  $46 \sim 48$ , and  $49 \sim 51$ .

For each experiment of  $s = 10, 5, 3$ , we randomly select around 80% for training and use the remaining for test. Note that subjects in the test set are disjoint from those in the training set. Tables I and II list the data configuration of using different age spans. As observed, when using  $s = 10$ , each age group has adequate training data. Along with the decrease of  $s$ , training data of each group gets less and less. When  $s$  reaches to 3, there is only limited training data left for each group. This is particularly the case for Group  $49 \sim 51$ , with only 1,600 training samples.

For images in MORPH, we first use the tool developed in [48] to detect facial landmarks. Then we perform face alignment using three landmarks, i.e., left eye center, right eye center, and mouth center. The final images are of size  $128 \times 128 \times 3$ . Although using a larger image size can get more promising results, the model learning process will become very expensive.

### C. Synthesis Results

We show in Figure 2 several synthesis results obtained by using 1hotGAN. As can be seen, when  $s = 10$  is adopted, 1hotGAN achieves smooth aging sequences and generates high-quality images. When  $s$  is decreased to 5, synthesized sequences present a little lower quality. When  $s$  is further




Fig. 2. Face synthesis with different age spans using 1hotGAN. In the leftmost column we give input faces together with their real ages.

decreased to 3, generated images show much lower quality. Many synthesized faces present blurry regions and artifacts. Some even present a changed identity. The limited training data in each age group severely hinders the model training process.

#### IV. LABEL DISTRIBUTION-GUIDED GAN

Next, we put emphasis on short-term facial age synthesis, including both age progression and age regression. Specifically, we concentrate on synthesis over an age span of 3 years. As shown in Table II, when  $s = 3$  is used, there is very limited training data for each age group. To fully utilize the limited data, we propose to integrate label distribution learning into common GAN architectures. The use of age label distribution further enables our approach to well capture the correlation among different age groups, so that smooth aging sequences can be achieved.

The proposed ldGAN consists of a generator  $G$  and 3 multi-scale discriminators denoted as  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$  and  $D_3$ . We use age

label distribution as the condition to guide the generator for synthesizing faces with target age groups. The 3 discriminators share the same network architecture but operate at different image scales. Specifically, we downsample the input with a factor of 2 and 4 and create an image pyramid of 3 scales. We then train the 3 discriminators to distinguish between real faces and generated ones. In addition,  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$  and  $D_3$  undertake the task of age label distribution learning. We achieve this by adding a sequence of label distribution learners on top of the 3 discriminators. Figure 3 illustrates our approach. In the following, we give full details of ldGAN.

##### A. Label Distribution of Age Groups

LDL was originally proposed for scalar age value estimation [40], where a label distribution covers a certain number of ages. In order to enable ALD to cover different age groups, we use the mean age of each age group as the representative age. Specifically, for each group we compute a mean age and





2) *LDL Loss*: Apart from the adversarial loss, our discriminators attempts to minimize LDL loss to ensure both  $I_o$  and  $I_t$  are correctly classified into their corresponding age groups. To achieve this, we add a sequence of label distribution learners on top of our multi-scale discriminators. The LDL loss is a cross-entropy loss and is adopted when optimizing both the generator and discriminators. The loss for optimizing discriminators is applied to input images and formulated as

$$L_{ldl}^D = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{v=1}^3 \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{I_o, z_o} \left[ - \sum_{k=1}^N z_{ok} \log p_{vk} \right] \right\}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\mathbf{p}_v = \{p_{v1}, \dots, p_{vN}\}$  is the estimated label distribution of  $I_o$ . By minimizing this loss, our discriminators can learn to classify  $I_o$  into its corresponding age group specified by  $z_o$ . On the other hand, the loss used to optimize  $G$  is applied to synthesized images and defined as

$$L_{ldl}^G = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{v=1}^3 \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{I_t, z_t} \left[ - \sum_{k=1}^N z_{tk} \log p_{vk} \right] \right\}, \quad (6)$$

where  $\mathbf{p}_v = \{p_{v1}, \dots, p_{vN}\}$  is the learned ALD of  $I_t$ . As a result, our generator can learn to generate samples that can be classified into the target age group denoted by  $z_t$ . With this LDL loss, we can guarantee the aging effect generation.

3) *Reconstruction Loss*: To ensure the synthesized image preserves the content of its input, we apply a reconstruction loss to  $G$ . It takes the form as

$$L_{rec} = \mathbb{E}_{I_o, z_t, z_o} [\|I_o - G(G(I_o, z_t), z_o)\|_1], \quad (7)$$

where  $G$  takes in the synthesized image  $G(I_o, z_t)$  and the original label distribution  $z_o$  as input and attempts to reconstruct the original image  $I_o$ . We use  $L_1$  norm to encourage less blurring outputs.

4) *Overall Objective*: Finally, our objective functions to optimize the generator and discriminators are weighted sums of all the above defined losses. They are written, respectively, as

$$L_D = L_{adv}^D + \lambda_{ldl} L_{ldl}^D, \quad (8)$$

$$L_G = L_{adv}^G + \lambda_{ldl} L_{ldl}^G + \lambda_{rec} L_{rec} + \lambda_{tv} L_{tv}, \quad (9)$$

where  $\lambda_{ldl}$ ,  $\lambda_{rec}$  and  $\lambda_{tv}$  are trade-off parameters.  $L_{tv}$  represents the total variation regularization imposed on synthesized samples.

### C. Network Architecture

The architecture of the generator keeps the same as 1hotGAN. The idea of adopting multi-scale discriminators comes from pix2pixHD [45]. For the 3 discriminators, we adopt PatchGANs and add two output layers on each of them. One is used to distinguish between real images and fake ones. It thus outputs the probability of local patches to be real. The other instead implements LDL and outputs estimated ALD. Note that the 3 discriminators share the same network architecture. Similarly to 1hotGAN, we use Leaky ReLU with a negative slope of 0.01 for all convolution layers of the 3 discriminators but apply no feature normalization. Our input to  $G$  has the size of  $128 \times 128 \times (3 + N)$ , while inputs to  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$  and  $D_3$  are of size  $128 \times 128 \times 3$ ,  $64 \times 64 \times 3$  and  $32 \times 32 \times 3$ , resp.

### D. Training Details

Training details keep the same as 1hotGAN. We adopt Wasserstein GAN in order to improve training stability [34]. Our  $L_{adv}^D$  thus takes the form as

$$L_{adv}^D = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{v=1}^3 \left\{ - \mathbb{E}_{I_o} [D_v(I_o)] + \mathbb{E}_{I_o, z_t} [D_v(G(I_o, z_t))] + \lambda_{gp} \mathbb{E}_{\hat{t}} \left[ \left( \|\nabla_{\hat{t}} D_v(\hat{t})\|_2 - 1 \right)^2 \right] \right\}, \quad (10)$$

where  $\hat{t}$  is sampled uniformly along a straight line between  $I_o$  and  $I_t$ .  $\lambda_{gp}$  is the coefficient of gradient penalty, which is set to be 10 in all our experiments. Trade-off parameters in Eq.8 and Eq.9 are set as  $\lambda_{ldl} = 4$ ,  $\lambda_{rec} = 10$  and  $\lambda_{tv} = 0.0001$ . We train ldGAN using Adam with a learning rate of 0.0001, a batch size of 16,  $\beta_1 = 0.5$ , and  $\beta_2 = 0.999$ . We perform one optimization step for  $G$  after three optimization steps of  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$  and  $D_3$ . Age progression and regression are implemented by randomly generating  $z_t$  as the condition of  $G$ . It takes about 16 hours to train one model with a single NVIDIA GTX1080Ti GPU. Given a test face image, it costs about 0.04s to generate the whole short-term aging sequence.

## V. EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION

In this section, we conduct a series of experiments to evaluate our proposed approach. We first show qualitative results. Then we report objective age estimation and face verification results in order to quantitatively check whether ldGAN performs well in aging effect generation and identity preservation. To thoroughly evaluate ldGAN, we further conduct several ablation studies. We then make a comparison with current state of the art in order to show the superiority of ldGAN over existing related work. Finally, we evaluate the performance of ldGAN in long-term aging scenario, where there is adequate training data. Note that for all the experiments in this section, the data configuration remains unchanged, i.e., keeping the same as Tables I and II.

### A. Qualitative Evaluation

Qualitative results are reported in Figure 4. As can be seen, using ldGAN obtains visually plausible results. From young to old, facial skin gets rougher gradually. Nasolabial folds begin to appear. Beard gets gray slowly for males. For some people, hair also gets gray in old faces. For regression, skin gets smoother gradually. Wrinkles, mustache and beard get reduced or even removed. Apart from the well generated aging effect, we achieve also high-quality images. More importantly, identity is well preserved in synthesized faces.

### B. Quantitative Evaluation

To better examine the ldGAN's ability in both learning aging patterns and keeping identity cues, we further perform a quantitative analysis. For evaluating aging effect, we employ the online face analysis tool of Face++ [49] to estimate ages of both test faces (i.e., real faces) and their synthesized faces






Fig. 4. Qualitative results obtained by using IdGAN. In the leftmost column the real age of each input face is shown.

TABLE IV  
OBJECTIVE AGE ESTIMATION RESULTS (IN YEARS) OBTAINED BY FACE++ WITH  $s = 3$

Age Group	16 ~ 18	19 ~ 21	22 ~ 24	25 ~ 27	28 ~ 30	31 ~ 33
Real Face	23.69 $\pm$ 4.63	25.64 $\pm$ 4.91	28.28 $\pm$ 5.32	30.22 $\pm$ 5.67	32.76 $\pm$ 5.88	35.55 $\pm$ 6.43
IdGAN	23.99 $\pm$ 4.91	25.30 $\pm$ 4.60	26.96 $\pm$ 4.69	29.48 $\pm$ 5.05	31.64 $\pm$ 5.37	35.58 $\pm$ 5.63
CAAE	23.88 $\pm$ 4.51	25.23 $\pm$ 4.87	26.60 $\pm$ 5.29	28.04 $\pm$ 5.58	29.41 $\pm$ 5.91	31.11 $\pm$ 6.31
IPCGANs	24.73 $\pm$ 5.26	26.52 $\pm$ 5.52	28.98 $\pm$ 5.81	32.11 $\pm$ 6.32	34.69 $\pm$ 6.61	35.76 $\pm$ 6.63
Age Group	34 ~ 36	37 ~ 39	40 ~ 42	43 ~ 45	46 ~ 48	49 ~ 51
Real Face	37.80 $\pm$ 6.77	40.91 $\pm$ 7.29	43.41 $\pm$ 7.41	46.22 $\pm$ 7.59	50.09 $\pm$ 7.39	53.15 $\pm$ 8.22
IdGAN	40.38 $\pm$ 5.76	41.39 $\pm$ 6.16	43.25 $\pm$ 6.61	47.27 $\pm$ 6.43	51.52 $\pm$ 6.24	56.52 $\pm$ 6.38
CAAE	32.82 $\pm$ 6.50	34.23 $\pm$ 6.53	36.07 $\pm$ 6.89	37.58 $\pm$ 7.01	39.18 $\pm$ 7.06	40.65 $\pm$ 6.94
IPCGANs	39.69 $\pm$ 6.86	41.86 $\pm$ 6.99	45.44 $\pm$ 6.99	49.41 $\pm$ 6.64	52.13 $\pm$ 6.71	54.39 $\pm$ 6.57

obtained by IdGAN. For each age group, we calculate the mean and standard deviation of estimated ages. The results are reported in Table IV. Although there exist deviation in

Face++'s estimated ages from actual ages, IdGAN keeps well the overall aging trend. By comparing estimated ages of generated faces with those of real faces, we find in most age groups,



TABLE V  
OBJECTIVE FACE VERIFICATION RESULTS (CONFIDENCE) OBTAINED BY FACE++ WITH  $s = 3$

Age Group	16 ~ 18	19 ~ 21	22 ~ 24	25 ~ 27	28 ~ 30	31 ~ 33
ldGAN	94.39 $\pm$ 1.48	94.69 $\pm$ 1.28	94.72 $\pm$ 1.13	94.64 $\pm$ 1.12	94.47 $\pm$ 1.12	94.34 $\pm$ 1.17
CAAE	79.92 $\pm$ 7.70	80.40 $\pm$ 7.42	80.82 $\pm$ 7.00	80.91 $\pm$ 6.81	81.00 $\pm$ 6.59	81.15 $\pm$ 6.36
IPCGANs	94.07 $\pm$ 1.80	94.77 $\pm$ 1.33	95.11 $\pm$ 1.04	95.22 $\pm$ 0.84	95.21 $\pm$ 0.82	95.21 $\pm$ 0.82
Age Group	34 ~ 36	37 ~ 39	40 ~ 42	43 ~ 45	46 ~ 48	49 ~ 51
ldGAN	94.67 $\pm$ 1.05	94.48 $\pm$ 1.06	94.21 $\pm$ 1.26	93.91 $\pm$ 1.45	93.47 $\pm$ 1.66	92.16 $\pm$ 2.17
CAAE	81.19 $\pm$ 6.22	81.12 $\pm$ 6.12	80.93 $\pm$ 6.14	80.46 $\pm$ 6.35	79.92 $\pm$ 6.47	79.55 $\pm$ 6.58
IPCGANs	95.05 $\pm$ 0.95	94.95 $\pm$ 1.06	94.64 $\pm$ 1.34	93.82 $\pm$ 1.82	93.29 $\pm$ 2.19	92.47 $\pm$ 2.62




Fig. 5. Ablation study results. Note that IhotGAN employs neither LDL nor multi-scale D.

synthesized faces have very close ages to real faces. Therefore, ldGAN successfully captures short-term aging patterns.

Objective face verification is also conducted using Face++ in order to check whether identity is well preserved during face aging. We compare each test face with its corresponding synthesized faces. A confidence value can then be obtained for each comparison, indicating the similarity of two faces. The

confidence lies within  $[0,100]$ . Higher confidence indicates higher possibility that two faces are from the same subject. Finally, for each age group, we calculate the mean and standard deviation of confidence over all test faces. The results are shown in Table V. As can be seen, we achieve high confidence for most age groups. Only when synthesizing faces with Group 49 ~ 51, we obtain a confidence lower than 93.



TABLE VI  
OBJECTIVE AGE ESTIMATION AND FACE VERIFICATION RESULTS OBTAINED BY FACE++ WITH  $s = 10$

Age Group	Objective Ages				Verification Confidence			
	16 ~ 25	26 ~ 35	36 ~ 45	46 ~ 55	16 ~ 25	26 ~ 35	36 ~ 45	46 ~ 55
Real Face	26.26 $\pm$ 5.45	34.05 $\pm$ 6.65	42.82 $\pm$ 7.76	52.86 $\pm$ 8.34	-	-	-	-
IdGAN	25.22 $\pm$ 5.67	34.20 $\pm$ 6.42	43.84 $\pm$ 6.87	53.17 $\pm$ 7.57	95.59 $\pm$ 0.90	95.53 $\pm$ 0.79	95.45 $\pm$ 0.83	94.15 $\pm$ 1.51
CAAE	23.04 $\pm$ 3.96	28.90 $\pm$ 5.57	33.07 $\pm$ 6.83	40.53 $\pm$ 7.39	74.40 $\pm$ 9.42	76.09 $\pm$ 8.08	76.95 $\pm$ 7.40	75.87 $\pm$ 7.58
IPCGANs	27.77 $\pm$ 7.39	36.18 $\pm$ 8.95	43.11 $\pm$ 8.93	53.01 $\pm$ 7.96	95.46 $\pm$ 0.81	95.73 $\pm$ 0.61	95.41 $\pm$ 0.84	93.07 $\pm$ 2.19




Fig. 6. Comparison with prior work: CAAE [22] and IPCGANs [24]. In the leftmost column we give input faces and their real ages.

We guess this is caused by the very limited training data, which has only 1,600 samples.

### C. Ablation Study

To more completely evaluate our approach, we further present three ablation studies. The first is used to examine the contribution of label distribution learning, which is performed by first replacing ALD with one-hot encoding

and then replacing LDL with softmax loss-based age group classification. The second study is performed by using a single-scale discriminator in order to check the contribution of multi-scale discriminators. Finally, we abandon both LDL and multi-scale discriminators, i.e., directly using 1hotGAN investigated in Section III.

The results are given in Figure 5. As observed, without LDL, generated faces show lower quality. Many faces present



artifacts and blurry parts. Similar artifacts and blurry parts are also found in faces synthesized by 1hotGAN. When using a single-scale discriminator, there appear beard-like things on female faces. This is especially the case for faces from Groups 28 ~ 30, 31 ~ 33 and 40 ~ 42. IdGAN instead achieves much better results. The use of label distribution learning enables our approach to fully utilize limited training data, so that photorealistic faces can be achieved. And adopting multi-scale discriminators further enhances our label distribution learners' ability.

#### D. Comparison With Prior Work

Now, we compare our approach with prior work. We select CAAE [22] and IPCGANs [24] as the control methods. On one hand, both approaches adopt one-hot labels as age encoding. On the other hand, their codes are made publicly available on Github, so that we can easily implement both methods for our short-term aging task. We show qualitative results in Figure 6. As observed, CAAE does not perform well in preserving identity. Aging effect is not well generated either. Moreover, faces synthesized by CAAE lack fine details. Compared with CAAE, IPCGANs show superiority in keeping identity cues and generating fine details. However, they are prone to generate old faces with blurred eyes. For synthesis of young male faces, if input faces have beard and mustache, IPCGANs perform poorly when removing them. In contrast, using IdGAN obtains more visually plausible results. We further quantitatively check the ability of CAAE and IPCGANs in aging effect generation and identity preservation. The results are reported in Tables IV and V. As can be seen, CAAE performs poorly in both learning short-term aging patterns and keeping identity cues. IPCGANs show a little higher confidence values than IdGAN in most age groups. However, they perform worse in capturing short-term aging patterns for most age groups. It should be noted that the training of IPCGANs suffers from model collapse. The results of IPCGANs reported here are obtained before model collapse.

#### E. Generalization Capability Study

Finally, we examine the generalization capability of IdGAN in synthesizing long-term aging sequences with  $s = 10$ . From Table III, we can see that when  $s = 10$  is used, ALD with  $\sigma = 2$  gets very close to one-hot encoding. As a result, the contribution of label distribution learning can be omitted. However, we have enough training data for each age group when  $s = 10$  is adopted. In Figure 7, we report qualitative results obtained by using IdGAN as well as results achieved by CAAE [22] and IPCGANs [24]. Quantitative results are given in Table VI. As observed, when there is enough training data for each age group, CAAE still performs poorly in both keeping identity cues and learning aging patterns. It fails to generate face images with fine details. By comparison, IPCGANs perform much better in both aging effect generation and identity preservation. However, when synthesizing images for the last age group, the generation quality gets lower. From Table VI, we can see that IdGAN achieves higher verification confidence than IPCGANs in most age groups. For aging




Fig. 7. Long-term aging results with  $s = 10$ . In the leftmost column we give input faces and their real ages.

effect comparison, we average the age difference between synthesized and real faces over all age groups. The mean age difference of IPCGANs is 1.02, while IdGAN gets smaller difference 0.63. Note that even with enough training data, IPCGANs still suffers from model collapse. Its results are thus obtained before model collapse. By comparing Table VI with Tables IV and V, we can see that when  $s = 10$  is adopted, IdGAN achieves better performance in both learning aging patterns and preserving identity cues. Thus, when there is adequate training data, IdGAN can generate smooth long-term aging sequences.

#### VI. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper, we investigated both long-term and short-term facial age synthesis, by employing a state-of-the-art GAN

architecture. The presented experimental results showed how a GAN-based model can generate smooth aging sequences with high-quality images, if a large time span is adopted. However, the same model fails to produce satisfactory results when a short time frame is adopted. In order to improve the quality of the face images produced by short-term age synthesis, we proposed a novel GAN-based approach, where each sample is associated with an age label distribution rather than a single age group. The proposed approach works well even when there is a limited amount of training data, owing to the use of ALD. In addition, unlike the one-hot encoding, where age groups are considered as if they were independent from one another, ldGAN can well capture the correlation among different age groups. The use of multi-scale discriminators further makes the proposed label distribution learners more robust. In order to evaluate ldGAN, both qualitative and quantitative experiments were performed. The obtained results well demonstrated the effectiveness of the proposed approach for both capturing short-term aging patterns and handling the paucity of training data.

Since the MORPH database includes only faces of individuals with ages from 16 to 77 years old, we cannot investigate age progression of children and teenagers with this database. However, facial growth shows large differences from birth to adulthood. Modeling age progression of young faces in a short-term way, thus, will be more significant. In the future, we expect more efforts devoted to both collecting a large number of young faces labeled with accurate ages and studying age progression of the face appearance for children and teenagers in a short-term aging framework.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the associate editor and anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments and significant efforts spent to help us for further improving the paper.

#### REFERENCES


- [1] N. Ramanathan, R. Chellappa, and S. Biswas, "Computational methods for modeling facial aging: A survey," *J. Vis. Lang. Comput.*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 131–144, Jun. 2009.
- [2] Y. Fu, G. Guo, and T. S. Huang, "Age synthesis and estimation via faces: A survey," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, vol. 32, no. 11, pp. 1955–1976, Nov. 2010.
- [3] N. Ramanathan and R. Chellappa, "Modeling age progression in young faces," in *Proc. IEEE Comput. Soc. Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit. (CVPR)*, Jun. 2006, pp. 387–394.
- [4] N. Ramanathan and R. Chellappa, "Modeling shape and textural variations in aging faces," in *Proc. 8th IEEE Int. Conf. Autom. Face Gesture Recognit.*, Sep. 2008, pp. 1–8.
- [5] A. Lanitis, C. J. Taylor, and T. F. Cootes, "Toward automatic simulation of aging effects on face images," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 442–455, Apr. 2002.
- [6] J. Suo, S.-C. Zhu, S. Shan, and X. Chen, "A compositional and dynamic model for face aging," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 385–401, Mar. 2010.
- [7] Y. Tazoe, H. Gohara, A. Maejima, and S. Morishima, "Facial aging simulator considering geometry and patch-tiled texture," in *Proc. ACM SIGGRAPH Posters (SIGGRAPH)*, 2012, p. 90.
- [8] Y. Wu, N. M. Thalmann, and D. Thalmann, "A dynamic wrinkle model in facial animation and skin ageing," *J. Visualizat. Comput. Animation*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 195–205, Oct. 1995.
- [9] Y. Wu, P. Kalra, L. Moccozet, and N. Magnenat-Thalmann, "Simulating wrinkles and skin aging," *Vis. Comput.*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 183–198, Jul. 1999.
- [10] Z. Liu, Z. Zhang, and Y. Shan, "Image-based surface detail transfer," *IEEE Comput. Graph. Appl.*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 30–34, May 2004.
- [11] D. M. Burt and D. I. Perrett, "Perception of age in adult Caucasian male faces: Computer graphic manipulation of shape and colour information," in *Proc. Roy. Soc. London B, Biol. Sci.*, 1995, pp. 137–143.
- [12] D. A. Rowland and D. I. Perrett, "Manipulating facial appearance through shape and color," *IEEE Comput. Graph. Appl.*, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 70–76, Sep. 1995.
- [13] B. Tiddeman, M. Burt, and D. Perrett, "Prototyping and transforming facial textures for perception research," *IEEE Comput. Graph. Appl.*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 42–50, Sep/Oct. 2001.
- [14] Y. Fu and N. Zheng, "M-face: An appearance-based photorealistic model for multiple facial attributes rendering," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol.*, vol. 16, no. 7, pp. 830–842, Jul. 2006.
- [15] I. Kemelmacher-Shlizerman, S. Suwajanakorn, and S. M. Seitz, "Illumination-aware age progression," in *Proc. IEEE Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit.*, Jun. 2014, pp. 3334–3341.
- [16] X. Shu, J. Tang, H. Lai, L. Liu, and S. Yan, "Personalized age progression with aging dictionary," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Comput. Vis. (ICCV)*, Dec. 2015, pp. 3970–3978.
- [17] W. Wang *et al.*, "Recurrent face aging," in *Proc. IEEE Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit. (CVPR)*, Jun. 2016, pp. 2378–2386.
- [18] C. N. Duong, K. Luu, K. G. Quach, and T. D. Bui, "Longitudinal face modeling via temporal deep restricted boltzmann machines," in *Proc. IEEE Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit. (CVPR)*, Jun. 2016, pp. 5772–5780.
- [19] C. N. Duong, K. G. Quach, K. Luu, T. H. N. Le, and M. Savvides, "Temporal non-volume preserving approach to facial age-progression and age-invariant face recognition," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Comput. Vis. (ICCV)*, Oct. 2017, pp. 3755–3763.
- [20] G. Antipov, M. Baccouche, and J.-L. Dugelay, "Face aging with conditional generative adversarial networks," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Image Process. (ICIP)*, Sep. 2017, pp. 2089–2093.
- [21] S. Liu *et al.*, "Face aging with contextual generative adversarial nets," in *Proc. ACM Multimedia Conf. (MM)*, 2017, pp. 82–90.
- [22] Z. Zhang, Y. Song, and H. Qi, "Age progression/regression by conditional adversarial autoencoder," in *Proc. IEEE Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit. (CVPR)*, Jul. 2017, pp. 5810–5818.
- [23] H. Yang, D. Huang, Y. Wang, and A. K. Jain, "Learning face age progression: A pyramid architecture of GANs," in *Proc. IEEE/CVF Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit.*, Jun. 2018, pp. 31–39.
- [24] Z. Wang, X. Tang, W. Luo, and S. Gao, "Face aging with identity-preserved conditional generative adversarial networks," in *Proc. IEEE/CVF Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit.*, Jun. 2018, pp. 7939–7947.
- [25] P. Li, Y. Hu, R. He, and Z. Sun, "Global and local consistent wavelet-domain age synthesis," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Forensics Secur.*, vol. 14, no. 11, pp. 2943–2957, Nov. 2019.
- [26] Y. Liu, Q. Li, and Z. Sun, "Attribute-aware face aging with wavelet-based generative adversarial networks," in *Proc. IEEE/CVF Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit. (CVPR)*, Jun. 2019, pp. 11877–11886.
- [27] FG-NET. *The FG-NET Aging Database*. Accessed: 2002. [Online]. Available: <http://www.prima.inrialpes.fr/FGnet/html/benchmarks.html>
- [28] K. Ricanek and T. Tesafaye, "Morph: A longitudinal image database of normal adult age-progression," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Autom. Face Gesture Recognit.*, 2006, pp. 341–345.
- [29] I. Goodfellow *et al.*, "Generative adversarial nets," in *Proc. Adv. Neural Inf. Process. Syst.*, 2014, pp. 2672–2680.
- [30] M. Mirza and S. Osindero, "Conditional generative adversarial nets," 2014, *arXiv:1411.1784*. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1411.1784>
- [31] J. Li, J. Jia, and D. Xu, "Unsupervised representation learning of image-based plant disease with deep convolutional generative adversarial networks," in *Proc. 37th Chin. Control Conf. (CCC)*, Jul. 2018.
- [32] X. Chen, Y. Duan, R. Houthoofd, J. Schulman, I. Sutskever, and P. Abbeel, "Infogan: Interpretable representation learning by information maximizing generative adversarial nets," in *Proc. Adv. Neural Inf. Process. Syst.*, 2016, pp. 2172–2180.
- [33] M. Arjovsky, S. Chintala, and L. Bottou, "Wasserstein generative adversarial networks," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Mach. Learn.*, 2017, pp. 214–223.
- [34] I. Gulrajani, F. Ahmed, M. Arjovsky, V. Dumoulin, and A. C. Courville, "Improved training of wasserstein gans," in *Proc. Adv. Neural Inf. Process. Syst.*, 2017, pp. 5767–5777.



- [35] X. Mao, Q. Li, H. Xie, R. Y. K. Lau, Z. Wang, and S. P. Smolley, "Least squares generative adversarial networks," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Comput. Vis. (ICCV)*, Oct. 2017, pp. 2794–2802.
- [36] L. Tran, X. Yin, and X. Liu, "Disentangled representation learning GAN for pose-invariant face recognition," in *Proc. IEEE Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit. (CVPR)*, Jul. 2017, pp. 1–7.
- [37] L. Song, Z. Lu, R. He, Z. Sun, and T. Tan, "Geometry guided adversarial facial expression synthesis," in *Proc. ACM Multimedia Conf. Multimedia Conf. (MM)*, 2018, pp. 627–635.
- [38] Y. Choi, M. Choi, M. Kim, J.-W. Ha, S. Kim, and J. Choo, "StarGAN: Unified generative adversarial networks for multi-domain Image-to-Image translation," in *Proc. IEEE/CVF Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit.*, Jun. 2018, pp. 8789–8797.
- [39] A. Pumarola, A. Agudo, A. M. Martinez, A. Sanfeliu, and F. Moreno-Noguer, "Ganimation: Anatomically-aware facial animation from a single image," in *Proc. Eur. Conf. Comput. Vis.*, 2018, pp. 818–833.
- [40] X. Geng, C. Yin, and Z.-H. Zhou, "Facial age estimation by learning from label distributions," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, vol. 35, no. 10, pp. 2401–2412, Oct. 2013.
- [41] Y. Sun, M. Zhang, Z. Sun, and T. Tan, "Demographic analysis from biometric data: Achievements, challenges, and new frontiers," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 332–351, Feb. 2018.
- [42] X. Geng and Y. Xia, "Head pose estimation based on multivariate label distribution," in *Proc. IEEE Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit.*, Jun. 2014, pp. 1837–1842.
- [43] J. Johnson, A. Alahi, and L. Fei-Fei, "Perceptual losses for real-time style transfer and super-resolution," in *Proc. Eur. Conf. Comput. Vis.*, 2016, pp. 694–711.
- [44] J.-Y. Zhu, T. Park, P. Isola, and A. A. Efros, "Unpaired image-to-image translation using cycle-consistent adversarial networks," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Comput. Vis. (ICCV)*, Oct. 2017, pp. 2242–2251.
- [45] T.-C. Wang, M.-Y. Liu, J.-Y. Zhu, A. Tao, J. Kautz, and B. Catanzaro, "High-resolution image synthesis and semantic manipulation with conditional GANs," in *Proc. IEEE/CVF Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit.*, Jun. 2018, pp. 8798–8807.
- [46] B.-C. Chen, C.-S. Chen, and W. H. Hsu, "Face recognition and retrieval using cross-age reference coding with cross-age celebrity dataset," *IEEE Trans. Multimedia*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 804–815, Jun. 2015.
- [47] E. Eiding, R. Enbar, and T. Hassner, "Age and gender estimation of unfiltered faces," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Forensics Secur.*, vol. 9, no. 12, pp. 2170–2179, Dec. 2014.
- [48] A. Bulat and G. Tzimiropoulos, "How far are we from solving the 2D & 3D face alignment problem? (and a dataset of 230,000 3d facial landmarks)," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Comput. Vis.*, 2017, p. 4.
- [49] Megvii. *Face++*. Accessed: 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://www.faceplusplus.com/>



**Yunlian Sun** received the M.E. degree in computer science and technology from the Harbin Institute of Technology, China, in 2010, and the Ph.D. degree in ingegneria elettronica, informatica e delle telecomunicazioni from the University of Bologna, Italy, in 2014. She is currently an Associate Professor with the School of Computer Science and Engineering, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, China. Her research interests include biometrics, pattern recognition, and computer vision.



**Jinhui Tang** (Senior Member, IEEE) received the B.Eng. and Ph.D. degrees from the University of Science and Technology of China, in 2003 and 2008, respectively. He is currently a Professor with the Nanjing University of Science and Technology. He has authored more than 150 papers in top-tier journals and conferences. His research interests include multimedia analysis and computer vision. He was a recipient of the Best Paper Award in ACM MM 2007, PCM 2011, and ICIMCS 2011, the Best Paper Runner-up in ACM MM 2015, and the Best Student Paper Award in MMM 2016 and ICIMCS 2017. He has served as an Associate Editor of the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON NEURAL NETWORKS AND LEARNING SYSTEMS (TNNLS), the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON KNOWLEDGE AND DATA ENGINEERING (TKDE), and the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON CIRCUITS AND SYSTEMS FOR VIDEO TECHNOLOGY (TCSVT).



**Xiangbo Shu** (Member, IEEE) received the Ph.D. degree from the Nanjing University of Science and Technology, China, in 2016. He is currently an Associate Professor with the School of Computer Science and Engineering, Nanjing University of Science and Technology. From 2014 to 2015, he worked as a Visiting Scholar at the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, National University of Singapore. His research interests include computer vision, multimedia computing, and deep learning. He is a member of the ACM and CCF. He has received the Excellent Doctoral Dissertation of CAAI, the Excellent Doctoral Dissertation of Jiangsu Province, the Best Student Paper Award in MMM 2016, and the Best Paper Runner-up in ACM MM 2015.



**Zhenan Sun** received the B.E. degree in industrial automation from the Dalian University of Technology, China, the M.S. degree in system engineering from the Huazhong University of Science and Technology, China, and the Ph.D. degree in pattern recognition and intelligent systems from CASIA, in 1999, 2002, and 2006, respectively. He is currently a Professor with the Center for Research on Intelligent Perception and Computing, National Laboratory of Pattern Recognition, Institute of Automation, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China. His research interests include biometrics, pattern recognition, and computer vision. He is a fellow of the IAPR.



**Massimo Tistarelli** received the Ph.D. degree in computer science and robotics from the University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy, in 1991. He is currently a tenured Full Professor of computer science and the Director of the Computer Vision Laboratory, University of Sassari, Sassari, Italy. His main research interests cover biological and artificial vision (in particular, recognition, 3-D reconstruction, and dynamic scene analysis), pattern recognition, biometrics, visual sensors, robotic navigation, and visuomotor coordination. He has coauthored over 100 scientific papers in peer-reviewed books, conferences, and international journals. He is one of the world-recognized leading researchers in biometrics. He is an Associate Editor of the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON PATTERN ANALYSIS AND MACHINE INTELLIGENCE (TPAMI), *Pattern Recognition Letters*, and *Image and Vision Computing*. He is a fellow of the IAPR.